# CANCER STEM CELLS

Anna Thompson

Neurosurgical Intern, University of Washington

Dr. Forrest Kievit

### **Glioblastoma Brain Cancer**

- Highly malignant
  - Median survival for adults treated with radiation therapy is about 14.6 months
  - Fewer than 3% of patients are still alive 5 years after diagnosis
- Thought to be on account of presence of cancer stem cells (CSCs)

# **Background and Context**

- Cancer stem cells in glioblastoma brain tumors
  - Heterogeneous mixture of stem cells and differentiated tumor cells
- Current therapies and their effectiveness
  - CSCs vs. differentiated cells



### 3D scaffolds

- How can researchers create a sustainable and developable model to study CSCs?
- 3D scaffold vs. in vitro
  - Effectiveness in animal testing—in vitro implantations have been unsuccessful where as cells cultured in 3D scaffolds have had more success
  - Both chemical properties of scaffold and 3D nature more accurately simulate the growth environment of CSCs in animals
- Biodegradable, easily manufactured



# My Purpose

- Different types of scaffolds—C-HA, CA (chitosan alginate)
  - Which ones are most effective and consistent in creating CSCs to be researched?
- Testing using various protein indicators of CSCs through Western blot technique

### Results—CD44



### Results—CD44 (continued)

#### CD44/B-actin 7/10/14





# C-HA and 2D scaffolds

- Protein indicators used:
  - Nestin and GFAP
  - Id1
  - CD44
  - SOX2
  - GAPDH (control)
  - B-actin (control)

### C-HA and 2D scaffold results (cont.)



### C-HA and 2D scaffold results

#### 2D scaffold vs. C-HA for CSC indicators



# Conclusions

- C-HA vs. CA scaffold
  - Consistency of results of C-HA scaffold
- 3D scaffolds as models
- Where do we go from here?
  - Once the 3D scaffold can be perfected, other researchers around the world can easily receive the scaffolds and begin to grow their own tumors to study CSCs such that a new and more advanced therapy can be formulated to better attack glioblastoma brain cancer.